## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

:

v. : Cr. A. No. 08-49-GMS

:

PEDRO ATLATENCO-LUNA

## **MEMORANDUM OF PLEA AGREEMENT**

Pursuant to discussions between the United States of America, by and through its attorneys, Colm F. Connolly, United States Attorney for the District of Delaware, and David L. Hall, Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Delaware, and the defendant, Pedro Atlatenco-Luna, by and through his attorney, Luis Ortiz, Esquire, the following agreement is hereby entered into by the respective parties:

- 1. The defendant shall plead guilty in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware to a one count Information, waiving prosecution by indictment, charging him with knowingly possessing a document prescribed by statute and regulations as evidence of authorized stay or employment in the United States, to wit, a Resident Alien Card, which the defendant knew to be counterfeit and falsely made, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1546(a) and 2. The government agrees to dismiss the one count indictment charging a violation Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028(a) at or about the time of sentencing.
- 2. The maximum penalty for Count One of the Information is 10 years imprisonment, three years supervised release, a \$250,000.00 fine, and a \$100.00 special assessment.
- 3. The essential elements of Count One of the Information, each of which the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt at trial, are: (1) the defendant knowingly possessed; (2) a document prescribed by statute and regulations as evidence of authorized stay or employment

in the United States, to wit, a Resident Alien Card; and (3) knowing that the document was counterfeit or falsely made.

- The defendant knowingly, voluntarily, and intelligently admits that on February 22, 2008, in the State and District of Delaware, he did knowingly possess a document prescribed by statute and regulations as evidence of authorized stay or employment in the United States, to wit, a Resident Alien Card, which the defendant knew to be counterfeit and falsely made, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1546(a) and 2.
- The defendant understands that the District Court must consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines and the factors set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a) in determining an appropriate sentence. At this stage (prior to the preparation of the pre-sentence report), the defendant should expect that the Government will recommend that the Court impose a sentence consistent with the sentencing range set forth by the sentencing guidelines. The defendant understands, however, that the ultimate determination of an appropriate sentence will be up to the sentencing judge. The Court may impose a sentence which exceeds, falls below, or is contained within the sentencing range prescribed by the sentencing guidelines. The defendant expressly acknowledges that if the Court imposes a sentence outside the range set forth in the sentencing guidelines, or otherwise different than the defendant expected, or contrary to the recommendation of his attorney or the United States, the defendant will not be allowed to withdraw his guilty plea on that basis.
- Provided that the United States does not learn after the entry of the defendant's guilty plea of conduct by the defendant that is inconsistent with acceptance of responsibility, the United States agrees to recommend a three-level reduction in the defendant's sentencing guideline range pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1.

- The defendant agrees to pay the \$100 special assessment the day of sentencing. Should he fail to do so, the Defendant agrees to enter voluntarily into the United States Bureau of Prisons' administered program known as the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, through which the Bureau of Prisons will collect a portion of defendant's prison salary and apply it on defendant's behalf to the payment of the outstanding debt ordered.
- The United States Attorney reserves the right to defend any ruling of the District Court should there be an appeal from this case.
- 9. It is further agreed by the undersigned parties that this Memorandum supersedes all prior promises, representations, and statements of the parties; that this Memorandum may be modified only in a written document signed by all the parties; and, that any and all promises, representations. and statements made prior to or after this Memorandum are null and void and have no effect whatsoever.

Luis Ortiz, Esquire Attorney for Defendant

David L. Hall

Assistant United States Attorney

Pedro Atlatenco-Luna

Defendant

Dated: Ume 17, 2004

, 2008, the foregoing

Memorandum of Plea Agreement is hereby (accepted) (rejected) by this Court.

FILED

JUN 1 2 2008

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Chief Judge